2.8

action from the Superior Court of the State of

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, on the grounds that jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because it is an action between citizens of different States in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs.

### PLAINTIFF'S STATE COURT ACTION

- On or about September 22, 2010, Plaintiff Charlene Lockhart ("Plaintiff") filed an action in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Orange, entitled "CHARLENE LOCKHART, Plaintiff, vs. DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICE, INC., DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants," Case No. 30-2010-00410443 (the "Complaint"). Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the Complaint.
- On or about November 29, 2010, Plaintiff 2: dismissed defendant Disney Worldwide Services, Inc. Attached hereto as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Request for Dismissal Without Prejudice, which was not served on Defendant but was obtained from the Orange County Superior Court's website.

- 3. On or about March 22, 2011, Plaintiff filed an Amendment to the Complaint, substituting Defendant "Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc." as fictitiously named Doe Defendant 1. Attached hereto as Exhibit "C" is a true and correct copy of the Amendment to the Complaint.
- 4. On or about March 24, 2011, Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint with the Doe Amendment on Defendant. Attached hereto as Exhibit "D" is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service of the Summons and Complaint.
- 5. Defendant is informed and believes that it is the only remaining named defendant that has been served as of the date of this Petition and Notice.
- 6. On or about May 9, 2011, Defendant filed and served its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint (the "Answer") in Orange County Superior Court. Attached hereto as Exhibit "E" is a true and correct copy of the Answer.
- 7. On or about May 10, 2011, Plaintiff served on Defendant a Notice of Case Management Conference scheduled for May 27, 2011. Attached hereto as Exhibit

Management Conference.

	8.	On or	abo	ut May	19, 2	011,	Def	endan	t fi	led	a
Case	Man	.agemer	nt St	atement	. At	tacl	ned l	nereto	as	Exh	ibit
"G"	is a	true	and	correct	сору	of	the	Case	Mana	agem	ent
Stat	emen	it.									

"F" is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Case

- 9. On or about May 27, 2011, Defendant served a Request for Statement of Damages on Plaintiff. Attached hereto as Exhibit "H" is a true and correct copy of the Request for Statement of Damages.
- 10. On or about June 16, 2007, Plaintiff served a Statement of Damages on Defendant. Attached hereto as Exhibit "I" is a true and correct copy of the Statement of Damages.
- 11. The documents attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "C" through "I" constitute the pleadings, process and orders served upon or by Defendant in the State Court Action.

### DIVERSITY JURISDICTION

12. Plaintiff alleges in her Complaint that she is a resident of the State of California, County of Orange. (Exhibit "A,"  $\P$  2).

б

13. Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S.,
Inc. is, and at all times relevant to the Complaint was,
incorporated in the State of Florida. Additionally,
Defendant's principal place of business is also located
in Florida. Defendant's officers and directors' offices
are located at Defendant's headquarters in Orlando,
Florida, from whence Defendant's high level officers
direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's
activities. Indeed, Defendant performs the vast
majority of its executive and administrative functions
at its Orlando headquarters. Thus, Defendant's
principal place of business and "nerve center" is
Orlando, Florida.

14. If a party is a corporation, it is a citizen of both its state of incorporation and the state where it has its principal place of business. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1). Accordingly, Defendant is (and was at the time of the filing of the Complaint) a citizen of the State of Florida.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

- 15. Although Plaintiff has purported to name "Disneyland Grand California Hotel" as a defendant, Plaintiff cannot state any cause of action against Disneyland Grand California Hotel because the Hotel is simply a hotel, and does not exist as a corporate entity. Rather, Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc., a citizen of the State of Florida, does business as "Disneyland Grand California Hotel." Disneyland Grand California Hotel is therefore a "sham" defendant, fraudulently joined in this case for the purpose of defeating diversity jurisdiction. Ritchey v. Upjohn Drug Co., 139 F. 3d 1313, 1318-19 (9th Cir. 1998) ("It is a commonplace that fraudulently joined defendants will not defeat removal on diversity grounds").
- 16. Plaintiff dismissed the other named defendant, Disney Worldwide Service, Inc., on November 19, 2010. (Exhibit "B"). Therefore, Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc. is the only remaining defendant in this case.
- 17. On June 16, 2011, Plaintiff served a Statement of Damages on Defendant, in response to Defendant's Request for Statement of Damages, listing general damages in the amount of \$500,000 and special damages in the amount of \$603,000, for a total of \$1,103,000.

1

4

5

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 28

26

(Exhibit "I"). Thus, the amount in controversy far exceeds \$75,000.

18. As set forth above, complete diversity exists among parties in this action, and this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Therefore, this action is one that Defendant can remove to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) because it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs.

### TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

19. This Notice of Removal is timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). The Complaint does not specify any monetary amount in controversy. (Exhibit "A"). Plaintiff's Statement of Damages, served on Defendant on June 16, 2011, alleges \$1,103,000 in general and special damages, making the case removable. (Exhibit "I"). Thus, this Notice of Removal is timely due because it is filed within thirty (30) days of becoming removable, and within one year of commencement of the action.

### CONCLUSION

20. Defendant respectfully requests that this Court exercise its removal jurisdiction over this action based upon the diversity of citizenship of the parties.

DATED: June 25, 2011 PAYNE & FEARS LLP

DANIEL F. FEARS

JAMES R. MOSS, JR.

Attorneys for Defendant WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC.

# ATTORNEYS AT LAW JAMBOREE CENTER, 4 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1100 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 (949) 851-1100

### INDEX OF EXHIBITS

***************************************		INDEX OF EXHIBITS
	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
	EXHIBIT "A":	Complaint
	EXHIBIT "B":	Request for Dismissal as to Defendant Disney Worldwide Services, Inc.
	EXHIBIT "C":	Amendment to Complaint
	EXHIBIT "D":	Proof of Service of Summons and Complaint
	EXHIBIT "E":	Answer to Complaint by Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.
-	EXHIBIT "F":	Notice of Case Management Conference
-	EXHIBIT "G":	Case Management Statement by Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.
_	EXHIBIT "H":	Request for Statement of Damages
	EXHIBIT "I":	Plaintiff's Statement of Damages
	·	
	4839-4763-9817, v. 1	
-		
-	·	

PETITION AND NOTICE OF REMOVAL

EXHIBIT "A"

	SUMM	ONS	
(CIT	TACION .	JUDICIA.	L)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

Disney Woldwide Sevices, Inc, Disneyland Grand California Hotel, and Does 1 through 20, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Charlene Lockhart

SUM-100

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

SEP 22 2010

ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Coun

BY: S. HERRERA-WILSON DEPUTY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Heip Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfheip), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you, if you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be sligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfitelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Pueda encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quada más carca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presente su respuesta e tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que liame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede ilamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el stito web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recipida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un corte de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Orange County Superior Court

700 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, CA 92701

Central Justice Center

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caugh) 0 4 10 4 4 3

JUDGE JAMES J. DI CESARE

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: DEPT. C18 (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Michael Lee Gilmore, 12395 Lewis Streeet, Ste. 201, Garden Grove CA 92840 714/750-1766

			m + ts na # m t tm	•	David of Phil Branch	- ER 440 NA 485
		4 by pers	CCP 416.40 (association or other (specify): CCP 416.40 (association or other (specify): CCP 416.40 (date):	partnership)	CCP 418.90 (authorized p	person)
		under:	CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	ration)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservates	<b>»</b> )
		3. On beha	of (specify):			
		2. as the p	dividual defendant. erson sued under the fictition Wal+ Dis	nay Parks C	ind Resorts U.S.	, Inc.
[SEAL]		1. as an in	dividual defendant.	is name of (specify	, be !	
		NOTICE TO THE	PERSON SERVED: You ar	e served	10 1	
(For proo (Para pru	f of service of this su eba de entrega de e	sta citatión use el foi	f Service of Summons <i>(form</i> mulario Proof of Service of S	Summons, (PUS-0)	0)).	
(Fecha)				1		
DATE:	SEP 2 2 2010	ALAN CAF	RLSON Clerk, by (Secretario	SONYA HE	RRERA-WILSON	(Adjunto)

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 (Rev. July 1, 2009) SUMMONS

code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.countinfo.ce.gov



ILAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL LEE GILMORE PERIOR COURT OF CALIFOR COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 12395 Lewis Street, Suite 201 Garden Grove, CA 92840 SEP 22 2010 (714) 750-1766 ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court (714) 750-1884 Fax State Bar Number 074463 BY: S HERRERA-WILSON DEPUTY Attorney for Plaintiff. 5 Charlene Lockhart JUDGE JAMES J. DI CESARE 6 DEPT. C18 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE 30-2010 10 11 CASE NO .: 00410443 Charlene Lockhart, 12 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES Plaintiff. 13 DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF 1. CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §12940 ET 14 Disney Worldwide Service, Inc., Disneyland Grand WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF 15 2. CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §12940 ET California Hotel: and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, 16 WRONGFUL CONSTRUCTIVE TERMINATION IN 3. Defendants. VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY 17 4. BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH 18 AND FAIR DEALING 19 Plaintiff, hereby brings her complaint against the above-named Defendants and states and alleges 20 as follows: 21 22 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 23 1. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at all times mentioned herein 24 Defendant DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC., and DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL 25 (hereinafter "DISNEY"), was, and is, doing business in the State of California in the County of Orange. 26 27 2. At all times herein mentioned, and at the time the cause of action arose, Plaintiff was an individual 28 and resident of the County of Orange, State of California, and at all relevant times was employed by COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Exhibit  $\Im$ 

2 Districtiff in conscious of the

Defendants in the County of Orange.

- 3. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as 1 through 20, inclusive, and for that reason sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will file and serve an amendment to this complaint alleging the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named Defendants if and when such true names and capacities become known to Plaintiff.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for, and proximately caused, the harm and damages alleged herein below.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants named herein acted as the employee, agent, spouse, partner, alter-ego and/or joint venturer of each of the other Defendants named herein and, in doing the acts and in carrying out the wrongful conduct alleged herein, each of said Defendants acted within the scope of said relationship and with the permission, consent and ratification of each of the other Defendants named herein.
- 6. Hereinafter in the complaint, unless otherwise specified, reference to a Defendant or Defendants shall refer to all Defendants, and each of them.
- 7. On or about 1982, Plaintiff and Defendant entered into a contract of employment, partially oral, partially written and partially implied for an unspecified period of years in the County of Orange. Plaintiff agreed to perform duties initially as a Banquet Server and then as a Food Server at Disney's Story Teller Cafe. Pursuant to the terms of this agreement, among others, Plaintiff was required to be a member of a union and was paid minimum wages plus tips which amounted to \$47,000.00 per year with retirement benefits, life insurance, medical insurance, disability insurance, and other benefits.
- 8. Defendant DISNEY published and promulgated written policies, procedures and guidelines. These policies and guidelines were contained in an Employee Policy Manual which included policies on:

- (1) Termination.
- (2) Gratuities
- (3) Complaints
- (4) Discipline and others
- 9. As a restaurant employee Plaintiff's employment was governed by an agreement between Defendant, DISNEY and Unite HERE! Local 11.
- 10. The union agreement had expired in 2009 and Disney and the union had not reached a new agreement.
- 11. Throughout the course of her employment, Plaintiff duly and satisfactorily performed all of the conditions and terms of her employment, except when prevented or excused from doing so by the Defendant and its managerial and executive employees.
- 12. In February 2008 Plaintiff advised Defendant's management that she was being threatened and harassed by another Disney employee, Angelica Martinez.
- 13. That Defendant's management did not respond to Plaintiff's concerns regarding her safety as a result of the actions of Angelica Martinez other than stating: Disney were aware of these issues and were addressing the issues.
- 14. Defendant's Human Resources Department would not talk to Plaintiff regarding these issues or do anything to solve the problem.
- 15. Plaintiff on her own filed an application for a restraining order with the Orange County Superior Court, and obtained a civil harassment temporary restraining order against her co-employee Angelica Martinez.
- 16. Once Plaintiff obtained the temporary restraining order, Defendant's management made it clear they did not know how they could keep Angelica Martinez away from Plaintiff so that the restraining order would not be violated.

- 17. One of the Defendant's managers stated that Defendant "will not cater to Ms. Lockhart any longer."
- 18. In 2009 after the Union contract had expired Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff and other long term older employees who had seniority with the Union by taking any opportunity that presented itself to terminate those employees, including the Plaintiff.
- 19. In May of 2009 Disney Management called Plaintiff into the management offices and told her that there was a question of an extra zero on of the checks for one of her customers at the Story Tellers Restaurant.. It should have been \$12.00 not \$120.00. The transaction in question occurred sometime in April 2009 and Plaintiff had no recall of the check in question.
- 20. Plaintiff, when told about the error, offered to immediately repay the money since it was a computer input error.
  - 21. Plaintiff was told that the incident had to go through channels.
- 22. On or about June 12, 2009 Plaintiff was again called into management offices and put on investigative leave as a result of the computer error involving the tip amount.
- 23. On June 16, 2009 a Union representative went with Plaintiff to the management office to talk about the incident and Plaintiff was told she was being terminated for stealing from the company after twenty-seven years with the company.
  - 24. Plaintiff is over age forty (40).
- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes Defendant's management was looking for an excuse to help get rid of Plaintiff because Plaintiff because of her age and seniority status, and because she complained about harassment by other employees of the Defendant.
- 26. Plaintiff contacted the union to grieve her termination, but because no formal contract was in existence between Disney and the union, no arbitration took place.

27. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies by filing complaints with the Department of Fair Housing and Employment. A right to sue letter was issued.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF GOVERNMENT CODE § 12940

### ET SEQ. [FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT (FEHA)]

### (Against Defendants and Does 1 through 20)

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 inclusive of this Complaint as if fully set forth at this place.
- 29. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code ("Code") § 12940 et seq., the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), was in full force and effect and was binding on Defendants, as Defendants regularly employed five or more persons.
- 30. At all times herein mentioned, Government Code ("Code") § 12941 was in full force and effect and was binding upon Defendants. This sections requires Defendants to refrain from discriminating against an employee over the age of 40. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing in full compliance with this section and received a "Right to Sue" letter.
- 31. The above said acts of Defendants constitute age discrimination in violation of public policy and in violation of Government Code §§ 12940(a) et seq. and 12941.
  - 32. Employees younger and with less experience than Plaintiff replaced the Plaintiff's position.
- 33. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that her age was a factor in Defendant's decision to terminate her. This discrimination was in violation of the public policy of the State of California, as reflected in Government Code § 12941, and has resulted in damage and injury to Plaintiff as alleged herein.

24 25

26 27

28

- 34. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to her professional reputation, all n an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amounts as damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3287 and/or § 3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.
- 35. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, mental anguish and embarrassment, as well as the manifestation of physical symptoms. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that she will continue to experience said physical and emotional suffering for a period in the future not presently ascertainable, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial.
- 36. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has been forced to hire attorneys to prosecute her claims herein, and has incurred and is expected to continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs in connection therewith. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under Code § 2965(b).

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

### WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF GOVERNMENT CODE § 12940 ET SEQ.

### [FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT (FEHA)]

### (Against Defendant and Does 1 through 20)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 inclusive of this Complaint as if fully set forth at the place.
- 38. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code § 12940 et seq. were in full force and effect and were binding on Defendant and each of them, as Defendant regularly employed five (5) or more persons. California Government Code § 12940(a) provides that it is unlawful for an employer, because of

disability, to discharge a person from employment or discriminate against them in compensation or as to the terms, conditions or privileges of employment.

- 39. As a direct, legal and proximate result of Plaintiff's age, Defendants constructively terminated Plaintiff.
- 40. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to her professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amounts as damages pursuant to Civil Code §3287 and/or §3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

  41. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendant, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, mental anguish and embarrassment, as well as the manifestation of physical symptoms. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that she will continue to experience said physical and emotional suffering for a period in the future not presently ascertainable, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial.
- 42. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendant, and each of them, Plaintiff has been forced to hire attorneys to prosecute her claims herein, and has incurred and is expected to continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs in connection therewith. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under Code §12965(b).

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

(Against Defendants and Does 1 through 20)

43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 inclusive of this Complaint as if fully set forth at the place.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Exhibit A Pg 16

- 44. At all times mentioned, the public policy of the State of California, as codified, expressed and mandated in California Government Code §12940, is to prohibit employers from discriminating, harassing and retaliating against any individual on the basis of age. This public policy of the State of California is designed to protect all employees and to promote the welfare and well being of the community at large. Accordingly, the actions of defendants, and each of them, in terminating Plaintiff on the grounds alleged and described herein were wrongful and in contravention of the express public policy of the State of California, to wit, the policy set forth in California Government Code § 12940 et seq., and the laws and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 45. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to her professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amounts as damages pursuant to Civil Code §3287 and/or §3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

  46. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, mental anguish and embarrassment, as well as the manifestation of physical symptoms. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that she will continue to experience said physical and emotional suffering for a period in the future not presently ascertainable, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### (BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS)

47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 of the Common Allegations of the Complaint as though fully set forth thereat.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 48. Plaintiff's employment agreement with the Defendants contained an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by which Defendants, and each of them, promise to give full cooperation to Plaintiff in his employment performance, and to refrain from doing any act which would prevent or impede Plaintiff from performing all of the conditions of his employment, or any act that would prevent or impede Plaintiff's employment of the fruits of his employment. Specifically, the covenant of good faith and fair dealing required Defendants to fairly, honestly and reasonably perform the terms and conditions of the employment agreement.
- 49. As a result of the employment relationship which existed between Plaintiff and Defendant and the express and implied promises made in connection with that relationship, and the acts, conduct and communications resulting in these implied promises, Defendant employer promised to act in good faith towards and deal fairly with Plaintiff which required among other things, that (a) Each party in the relationship must act with good faith toward the other concerning all matters relating to the employment; (b) Each party in the relationship must act with fairness toward the other concerning all matters relating to the employment; (c) Neither party would take any action to prevent the other from obtaining the benefits of the employment relationship; (d) Defendant employer would similarly treat employees who are similarly situated; (e) Defendant employer would comply with its own representations, rules, policies and procedures in dealing with Plaintiff; (f) Defendant employer would not terminate Plaintiff without a fair and honest cause regulated by good faith on Defendant employer's part; and (g) Defendant employer would not constructively terminate Plaintiff in an unfair manner; (h) Defendant employer would give Plaintiff's interest as much consideration as it gave its own interests, and (l) Defendant employer would not deny Plaintiff the right to due process.
- 50. Plaintiff, as an individual employee, was in an inherently unequal bargaining position in his dealings with the Defendant. In addition, Plaintiff entrusted her entire major livelihood to the Defendant's willingness to perform their obligations under the terms of employment, and she risked suffering grave harm of Defendants failed to perform. Defendants were aware of Plaintiff's vulnerability in this regard.
  - 51. Defendant's termination of the Plaintiff's employment was wrongful, in bad faith, arbitrary and COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

unfair, and therefore, in breach of said covenant, that Plaintiff was constructively terminated on the pretext that she improperly took a gratuity to which she was not entitled, when Defendants knew there was no just cause and that similar mistakes by other employees did not result in termination. Plaintiff was terminated for reasons extraneous to the employment agreement, without good or sufficient cause, in violation of the Defendant's policy to deal consistently and fairly with its employees, and for the purpose of frustrating Plaintiff's enjoyment of the benefits of her employment with Defendants, and as part of a systematic policy to terminate employees while they were not covered by the union contract and did not have effective union representation.

52. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference the damage allegations in Paragraphs 40 and 41 of the Second Cause of Action of the Complaint on file herein as though fully set forth hereat.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For general damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court;
- 2. For medical expenses and related items of expense, according to proof;
- 3. For loss of earnings, according to proof;
- 4. For attorneys' fees according to proof;
- 5. For prejudgment interest, according to proof;
- 6. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 7. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: September 20, 2010

MICHAEL LEE GILMORE

Attorney for Plaintiff,

Charlene Lockhart

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Michael Lee Gilmore	• •	
12395 Lewis Street, Suite 201		
Garden Grove, CA 92840 Bar No. 074463		FILED
TELEPHONE NO.: 714/750-1766	FAX NO.: 714/750-1884	A COUNT OF CALLED RAILA
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Charlene Lo		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	range	CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
STREET ADDRESS: 700 Civic Center Dri	ve West	
1 .	4C 11 C31	SEP 22 2010
MAILING ADDRESS:	•	ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana, CA 9270  BRANCH NAME: Central Justice Cente	k. P	ALAN CARLSON, GIGIN OF THE
	\$	
CASE NAME:	il Comica Imp. et el	BY: S. HENTERA WILSON DEPUTY
Charlene Lockhart v. Disney World	wide Services, inc., et. ai.	CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NOWNERS
✓ Unlimited	Counter Joinder	00/10//3
(Amount (Amount		JUDGE: 0 0 4 1 0 4 4 J
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	dant
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
Items 1-6 be	low must be completed (see instructions	on page ODGE JAMES J. DICESARE
1. Check one box below for the case type that	it best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex DEFIT C 18
	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property		Mass tort (40)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Securities litigation (28)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	types (41)
Non-PVPD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	1 .
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
The state of the s	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment		Other petition (not specified above) (43)
✓ Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is is not com	plex under rule 3.400 of the California R	tules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mans	igement:	
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. Large numb	er of witnesses
. I m	difficult or novel e.   Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumin		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
ISSUES THAT WILL DE UTITO-CONSULTAN		postjudgment judicial supervision
c. Substantial amount of document	• •	promoteority
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief c.  punitive
3. Remedies sought (check all trial apply).		
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Fo	7411	
5. This case is I is not a cla	ss action sun.	marcusa form Chlatter
6. If there are any known related cases, file	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form our of the
Date: September 20, 2010	h	$A \sim (1/2) \times A \sim 1$
Michael Lee Gilmore		hely the row
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE /	
• Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or proceed	ing (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in annutions		
a my is a summary and distinct to any and	er sheet required by local court rule.	went cance a convert this cover sheet on all
• If this case is complex under rule 3.400 e	t seq. of the California Rules of Court, yo	ou must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
1 III II III making or proposition	,	i i
• Unless this is a collections case under rul	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sr	neet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007] CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

al. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740: Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10



## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORANGE COUNTY - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

CIVIL DEPARTMENT CALENDAR SCHEDULING CHART

Ex Parte applications must comply with California Rules of Court, rules 3.1200 – 3.1207

Court Local Rules are located at <a href="https://www.occourts.org">www.occourts.org</a>

Dept.	Judicial Officer BANKS 657-622-5211	Motion Days and Time Friday 1:30 p.m.	Ex Parte Days and Time Daily 8:45 a.m.	Telephonic Notice to Courtroom the day before the hearing but no later than:	Ex Parte Application and Proposed Order presented to the court the day before the hearing but no later than: 3:00 p.m.	Rulings posted on internet?	Other Call for available dates.  Call (657) 622-5211 to reserve motion date. Moving party must submit on moving papers unless court invites oral argument. Counsel must reserve Ex Parte hearings with the courtroom by calling (657) 622-5211 and supply
· .							whatever information may be requested.
C20	CHAFFEE 657-622-5220	Friday 9:30 a.m.	Daily 1:30 p.m.	None	Noon	Yes 3:00 p.m. the day before	Teleconference appearances are voluntary and do not require consent by court or other parties. However, the court reserves to right to reject any request. Teleconference appearances are conducted in conformity with the guidelines, which are available by calling CourtCall, LLC at (310) 914-7884 or (888) 88-COURT
C15	FIRMAT 657-622-5215	Thursday 3:00 p.m.	Daily 1:30 p.m.	Not required	11:00 a.m.	Yes	Teleconference appearances are voluntary and do not require consent by court or other parties. However, the court reserves to right to reject any request. Teleconference appearances are conducted in conformity with the guidelines, which are available by calling CourtCall, LLC at (310)914-7884 or (888) 88-COURT.
C18	DI CESARE 657-622-5218	Thursday 1:30 p.m.	M,T,W,F 1:30 p.m.	Noon	4:30 P.M. if day prior to the Ex Parte hearing is Monday-Thursday; 3:00 P.M. if day prior to the Ex Parte hearing is Friday.	Yes 3:00 p.m. the day before	If there is no appearance for argument, the court will order the tentative ruling to become effective and final the date of the hearing.
C22	FELL 657-622-5222	Wednesday 10:00 a.m. Motions must be, reserved prior to filing by calling 657- 622-5222.	Daily 8:30 a.m.	Not required	2:00 p.m	Yes 4:30 p.m. the day before	Moving party must submit on moving papers unless the court invites oral argument. Oral argument will be heard on the hearing date. Oppositions must be in writing but may be hand written if presented at the time of appearance.
C9	GASTELUM 657-622-5209	Tuesday 2:00 p.m.	M,T,W,TH 1:30 p.m.	Noon	10:00 a.m. day of hearing		

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE

## ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

### NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF(S) AND/OR CROSS-COMPLAINANT(S):

Rule 3.221(c) of the California Rules of Court requires you to serve a copy of the ADR Information Package along with the complaint and/or cross-complaint.

California Rules of Court – Rule 3.221 Information about Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

- (a) Each court shall make available to the plaintiff, at the time of filing of the complaint, an ADR Information Package that includes, at a minimum, all of the following:
  - (1) General information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR and descriptions of the principal ADR processes.
  - (2) Information about the ADR programs available in that court, including citations to any applicable local court rules and directions for contacting any court staff responsible for providing parties with assistance regarding ADR.
  - (3) Information about the availability of local dispute resolution programs funded under the Dispute Resolutions Program Act (DRPA), in counties that are participating in the DRPA. This information may take the form of a list of the applicable programs or directions for contacting the county's DRPA coordinator.
  - (4) An ADR stipulation form that parties may use to stipulate to the use of an ADR process.
- (b) A court may make the ADR Information Package available on its Web site as long as paper copies are also made available in the clerk's office.
- (c) The plaintiff must serve a copy of the ADR Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. Cross-complainants must serve a copy of the ADR Information Package on any new parties to the action along with the cross-complaint.

Page 1 of 4

Exhibit #Pg 22

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE

### ADR Information

### Introduction.

Most civil disputes are resolved without filing a lawsuit, and most civil lawsuits are resolved without a trial. The courts and others offer a variety of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. ADR is usually less formal, less expensive, and less time-consuming than a trial. ADR can also give people more opportunity to determine when and how their dispute will be resolved.

### BENEFITS OF ADR.

Using ADR may have a variety of benefits, depending on the type of ADR process used and the circumstances of the particular case. Some potential benefits of ADR are summarized below.

Save Time. A dispute often can be settled or decided much sooner with ADR; often in a matter of months, even weeks, while bringing a lawsuit to trial can take a year or more.

Save Money. When cases are resolved earlier through ADR, the parties may save some of the money they would have spent on attorney fees, court costs, experts' fees, and other litigation expenses.

Increase Control Over the Process and the Outcome. In ADR, parties typically play a greater role in shaping both the process and its outcome. In most ADR processes, parties have more opportunity to tell their side of the story than they do at trial. Some ADR processes, such as mediation, allow the parties to fashion creative resolutions that are not available in a trial. Other ADR processes, such as arbitration, allow the parties to choose an expert in a particular field to decide the dispute.

Preserve Relationships. ADR can be a less adversarial and hostile way to resolve a dispute. For example, an experienced mediator can help the parties effectively communicate their needs and point of view to the other side. This can be an important advantage where the parties have a relationship to preserve.

Increase Satisfaction. In a trial, there is typically a winner and a loser. The loser is not likely to be happy, and even the winner may not be completely satisfied with the outcome. ADR can help the parties find win-win solutions and achieve their real goals. This, along with all of ADR's other potential advantages, may increase the parties' overall satisfaction with both the dispute resolution process and the outcome.

Improve Attorney-Client Relationships. Attorneys may also benefit from ADR by being seen as problem-solvers rather than combatants. Quick, cost-effective, and satisfying resolutions are likely to produce happier clients and thus generate repeat business from clients and referrals of their friends and associates.

### DISADVANTAGES OF ADR.

ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

Loss of protections. If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.

Exhibit APR 23

Page 2 of 4

Less discovery. There generally is less opportunity to find out about the other side's case with ADR than with litigation. ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.

Additional costs. The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services. If a dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may have to put time and money into both ADR and a lawsuit.

Effect of delays if the dispute is not resolved. Lawsuits must be brought within specified periods of time, known as statues of limitation. Parties must be careful not to let a statute of limitations run out while a dispute is in an ADR process.

### TYPES OF ADR IN CIVIL CASES.

The most commonly used ADR processes are arbitration, mediation, neutral evaluation and settlement conferences.

Arbitration. In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are often relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "nonbinding." Binding arbitration means that the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Generally, there is no right to appeal an arbitrator's decision. Nonbinding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they do not accept the arbitrator's decision.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Be Appropriate. Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Not Be Appropriate. If parties want to retain control over how their dispute is resolved, arbitration, particularly binding arbitration, is not appropriate. In binding arbitration, the parties generally cannot appeal the arbitrator's award, even if it is not supported by the evidence or the law. Even in nonbinding arbitration, if a party requests a trial and does not receive a more favorable result at trial than in arbitration, there may be penalties.

**Mediation.** In mediation, an impartial person called a "mediator" helps the parties try to reach a mutually acceptable resolution of the dispute. The mediator does not decide the dispute but helps the parties communicate so they can try to settle the dispute themselves. Mediation leaves control of the outcome with the parties.

Cases for Which Mediation May Be Appropriate. Mediation may be particularly useful when parties have a relationship they want to preserve. So when family members, neighbors, or business partners have a dispute, mediation may be the ADR process to use. Mediation is also effective when emotions are getting in the way of resolution. An effective mediator can hear the parties out and help them communicate with each other in an effective and nondestructive manner.

Cases for Which Mediation May Not Be Appropriate. Mediation may not be effective if one of the parties is unwilling to cooperate or compromise. Mediation also may not be effective if one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

**Neutral Evaluation.** In neutral evaluation, each party gets a chance to present the case to a neutral person called an "evaluator." The evaluator then gives an opinion on the strengths and weaknesses of each party's evidence and arguments and about how the dispute could be resolved. The evaluator is

Exhibit #Pg24

Page 3 of 4

often an expert in the subject matter of the dispute. Although the evaluator's opinion is not binding, the parties typically use it as a basis for trying to negotiate a resolution of the dispute.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Be Appropriate. Neutral evaluation may be most appropriate in cases in which there are technical issues that require special expertise to resolve or the only significant issue in the case is the amount of damages.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Not Be Appropriate. Neutral evaluation may not be appropriate when there are significant personal or emotional barriers to resolving the dispute.

Settlement Conferences. Settlement conferences may be either mandatory or voluntary. In both types of settlement conferences, the parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or a neutral person called a "settlement officer" to discuss possible settlement of their dispute. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. Settlement conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory settlement conferences are often held close to the date a case is set for trial.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

In addition to mediation, arbitration, neutral evaluation, and settlement conferences, there are other types of ADR, including conciliation, fact finding, mini-trials, and summary jury trials. Sometimes parties will try a combination of ADR types. The important thing is to try to find the type or types of ADR that are most likely to resolve your dispute.

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs, Consumer Information Center, toll free, 1-800-852-5210
- Contact the Orange County Bar Association at (949) 440-6700
- Look in the Yellow Pages under "Arbitrators" or "Mediators"

Free mediation services are provided under the Orange County Dispute Resolution Program Act (DRPA) For information regarding DRPA, contact:

- Community Service Programs, Inc. (949) 851-3168
- Orange County Human Relations (714) 834-7198

For information on the Superior Court of California, County of Orange court ordered arbitration program, refer to Local Rule 360.

The Orange County Superior Court offers programs for Civil Mediation and Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE). For the Civil Mediation program, mediators on the Court's panel have agreed to accept a fee of \$300 for up to the first two hours of a mediation session. For the ENE program, members of the Court's panel have agreed to accept a fee of \$300 for up to three hours of an ENE session. Additional information on the Orange County Superior Court Civil Mediation and Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) pilot programs is available on the Court's website at www.occourts.org.

Exhibit APR 25

		FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHO	OUT ATTORNEY (Name & Address):	FOR GOOK! USE GIVE!
Tulanhana Ala	Fax No. (Optional):	
Telephone No.: E-Mail Address (Optional):	, , ,	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	Bar No:	
	IFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	
JUSTICE CENTER:  Central - 700 Civic Center Dr.	West, Santa Ana, CA 92701-4045	
IT Chill Complex Center - 751 W	7. Santa Ana Bivd., Santa Ana, CA 92701-4512 23141 Moulton Pkwy., Laguna Hills, CA 92653-1251	, i
U Wartor - Newbort Beach Fac	lity - 4601 Jamboree Rd., Newport Beach, CA 92660-2595	
☐ North - 1275 N. Berkeley Ave ☐ West - 8141 13** Street, West	L. P.O. Box 5000, Fullerton, CA 92838-0500	
CI AAGS! - 9141 12 Officer' AAGS		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER		
DEFENDANT/RESPOND	ENT:	
ALTERNATIVE DISP	UTE RESOLUTION (ADR) STIPULATION	ON CASE NUMBER:
ALIENNATIVE DIO		
Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s),		
( ICAS ( SAS ) SAS ( SAS ( SAS ) SAS ( SAS		
and defendant(s)/respond	ent(s),	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
agree to the following disp	oute resolution process:	
☐ Mediation		
☐ Arbitration (must spec	ify code)	
□Unde	r section 1141.11 of the Code of Civil Procedure r section 1280 of the Code of Civil Procedure	
☐ Neutral Case Evaluati	on	
The ADR process must be was referred, whichever is	e completed no later than 90 days after the date sooner.	of this Stipulation or the date the case
I have an Order on Copro bono services.	ourt Fee Waiver (FW-003) on file, and the selecte	ed ADR Neutral(s) are eligible to provide
☐ The ADR Neutral Sele	ection and Party List is attached to this Stipulatio	n.
We understand that there an ADR process does not	may be a charge for services provided by neutral extend the time periods specified in California R	als. We understand that participating in tules of Court rule 3.720 et seq.
Date:	(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)
•		
Date:	(SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)	SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)
•	•	

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) STIPULATION
Use California Rules of Court, rule 3.221

Approved for Optional Use L1270 (Rev. January 2010)



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	FOR COURT USE ONLY
JUSTICE CENTER: ☑ Central - 700 Civic Center Dr. West, Santa Ana, CA 92701-4045 ☐ Civil Complex Center - 751 W. Santa Ana Blvd., Santa Ana, CA 92701-4512	
☐ Harbor-Laguna Hills Facility – 23141 Moulton Pkwy., Laguna Hills, CA 92653-1251 ☐ Harbor – Newport Beach Facility – 4601 Jamboree Rd., Newport Beach, CA 92660-2595 ☐ North – 1275 N. Berkeley Ave., P.O. Box 5000, Fullerton, CA 92838-0500	
☐ West - 8141 13 <sup>th</sup> Street, Westminster, CA 92683-0500	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) NEUTRAL SELECTION AND PARTY LIST	CASE NUMBER:
☐ Arbitration ☐ Mediation ☐ Neutral Evaluation	

(ATTACH THIS FORM TO FORM L-1270, ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) STIPULATION, AND FILE IT WITH THE COURT.)

### ADR NEUTRAL SELECTION

For Arbitration, parties may select a Neutral and Alternate or may have a Neutral randomly assigned from the Court's Panel. For Mediation and Neutral Evaluation, parties must select a Neutral and an Alternate below.						
☐ For Arbitration, please check this box to have an arbitrator assigned at random	٦.					
The parties select the following Neutral and Alternate from the Court ADR Panel:						
	· .					
Neutral:						
Alternate:						

The above named Neutral will be notified by a Notice of Assignment of ADR Neutral that he or she has been selected as the neutral in this proceeding. In the event the neutral does not accept the assignment, a new Notice of Assignment of ADR Neutral will be sent to the above named Alternate. The assignment of the Alternate to serve as the Neutral does not extend the time to complete the ADR process.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)
NEUTRAL SELECTION AND PARTY LIST

Adopted for Mandatory Use L2748 (New February 2008) www.occourts.org



Short Title:	Case Number:	,
(1)	PARTY LIST ncluding Affiliates)	•
The parties agree that the ADR Session may	be conducted on one of the following	dates:
12	3 4	
Attorney and Firm Name:		,
Mailing Address:	City	ZIP
Area Code and Telephone Number:		
Attorney for:		
Attorney and Firm Name:		
Mailing Address:	City	ZIP
Area Code and Telephone Number:		'
Attorney for:		
Attorney and Firm Name:		
Mailing Address:	City	ZIP.
Area Code and Telephone Number:	Fax	
Attorney for:		
Attorney and Firm Name:		
Mailing Address:	City	ZiP
Area Code and Telephone Number:	Fax	
Attorney for:		
This Party List must also include the full names, subsidiary corporations, and of all insurance discovery if any attorney or self-represented part	carriers. Counsel must immediately	rporate parties' parent and y notify the neutral upon
☐ Attach additional copies of this page if neces carriers.	ssary to inclùde additional parties, affili	ated entities or insurance
ALTERNATIVE D	DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)	
NEUTRAL SEL Adopted for Mandatory Use L2748 (New February 2008)	ECTION AND PARTY LIST	www.occourts.o

Exhibit # Pg 28

			TECEINED
•	LEGAL DOC	CUMENT LOG	MAR 2 5 2011
			ED NOWAK'S OFFICE
RECEIVED:	DATE: TIME:	HOW:	
IF SERVED:	DATE: 3/24/2011 TIME: 4:26PI	M HOW: PERSONAL SERVICE	Æ
IF ACCEPTED:	BY: Diane Austin ON	BEHALF OF: WALT DISNEY PAR RESORTS U.S., INC	KS AND
SUBJECT:	CHARLENE LOCKHART		
	vs.		
$\rho = N \cdot q_{i}$	DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, IN	IC., DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFOR	NIA HOTEL,
	AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUS	IVE	
CASE NUMBER:	30-2010 00410443		200 p. 100 p
ONOL ITOMOLITI			
COURT:	ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COUI	RT	
TYPE OF DOCUMENT:	SUMMONS / COMPLAINT	~	
		1	
•			
LAW FIRM OR ATTORNEY:	MICHAEL LEE GILMORE		
ROUTED TO:	Ed Nowak		
CC:		DATE: 3/24/11	
COMMENTS:			
•			
			1

Exhibit A Pg 29

EXHIBIT "B"

Nov-29-2010 10:05 AN 17147501884 3/4 1/29/2010 11:08 171475 84	<b>A</b>	PAGE 03/
Nov-24-2010 12:19 PM DISNEY 1-714-781-1212	,	3/4
		CIV-110
ATTORNEY OR FARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY EVENTS SINCE BEAT MODE, and another Michael Lee Gilmore, State Bar No. 0744 12395 Lewis Street, Suite 201 Garden Grove, California 92840	63	FOR EQUATUSE ONLY
		·
TELEPHONE NO: 714-750-1766 FAX NO. (Optional): 7 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY POR (Markey Plaintiff, Charlans Lockh)	14-750-188 <b>4</b> art	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF CHANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTRAL
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF CRANGE STREET ABBRESS 700 Civic Canter Drive West MULNO ADDRESS.	1 .	NOV SA 3010
GRYAND ZW COME Santa Ana, California 927( SHANCH HAME Central Justice Center	)1	ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court 8. Fluences
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Charlens Lookhart		BY L. FUENTES
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Disney Worldwide Serv Disneyland Grand California Rotal and I	icea, Inc.,	
REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL		CABE NUMBER:
Personal Injury, Property Damage, or Wrongful Death     Motor Vahiole		30-2010-00410443
Other (specify):		
- A conformed copy will not be returned by the clerk	unless a method of return	is provided with the document
1. TO THE CLERK: Please diamins this action as follows: e. (1) With prejudice (2) Without prejudice b. (1) Complaint (2) Petition (3) Cross-complaint filed by (name): (4) Cross-complaint filed by (name);		on (date): on (date):
(5) Chier (specify): As to Disney Worldwi		only
(Complete in all osses except lamby law cases.)     Court fees and costs were waived for a party in this can ched; the declaration on the back of this form must	ase. (This information may to the completed).	be obtained from the clerk. If this box is
Date: 11/29/10	Sul of	1(X) is I)
Michael Lee Gilmoxe,	hclest	(GRONATURE)
TYPE OR FRENT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	Attorney or party without	gupiney iui.
"If dismissal inquested is of specified parties only of specified courses of action only, or of specified cross-complaints only, so state and identify the parties, courses of action, or cross-complaints to be dismissed.	Plaintiff/Petitione Cross - complain	
<ol> <li>TO THE CLERK: Consert to the above dismissal is hereby given</li> <li>Date:</li> </ol>	60,**	
TTYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	Altomey or party without	(BIGMIVE) altorney for:
If a cross-complaint - or Response (Family Law) seeking affirmative relief - to on file, the stiomey for cross-complainant (taspondent) must skip this content if required by Code of Civil Procedure section Set (f) or (f).	Plaintift/Petitione Cross - Complai	•
(To be completed by clerk) 4. Dismissal entered as requested on (dais): 5. Dismissal entered on (dais): 6. Dismissal entered as requested for the following rest	to only (name):	
7. a. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date); b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Sing party without attorney not notified.	party failed to provide	7/) .
Date: NOV 299 2010 conformed means to return to	м поптия схору	Man C. Deputy
Entry Advisor Andrews Company of the	OR DISMISSAL SOLUTION	Papa 1 6/2  Code of Cove Procedure 5 551 at and:  NIS Cov Code 5 cos57(c), Col Passe of Court, rule 3 1380  ILIS
	Log M	IUS

Exhibit Bpg 30

29/2010 11:08	17147	5 84			PAGE	04/8
v-24-2010 12:19 P	M DISNEY	1-714-781-1212		_	4/4	
Par a 11 label looped open \$10000			MACA made annotative to Advantus annotative que un reconstructuo de la constitución de la		Ç	V-110
and .		arlene Lockhart	•	CASE NUMBER: 30-2010-0041	0443	
DEFENDANT/RESPO	ONDENT: Di	eney Worldwide Sa sneyland Grand Co	ervices, Inc., alifornia Hotel			.
		,	rning Waived Court	t Fees	The state of the s	
settlement, c	xompromise, s	len for walved fees and co prolitation sward, mediation ill dismise the case.	als on any recovery of \$40 recilement, or other reco	0,000 or more in value by overy. The court's lien mu	et	
1. The court waive	d foos and co	sts in this action for (name)	);		·	
2. The person in to	ern 1 <i>(cheak c</i>	nno):				
		ything of velue by this action	on,	•		
D_   IN THICK	svaring leas th	勝門 第74] 【XXI】 [2] UMT (株 M) [Piste	t sontino			
		ian \$10,000 in value by this		had hom 4 milet to appe	Vatari i	
		ian \$70,000 in value by this 20 of more in value by this		ked, item 3 must be comp	veted.)	
a. 🔲 is reco	overing \$10,00	DO or more in value by this	action. (If item 2c is obeci		eleted.) Yes [ No	
a. is reco	overing \$10,00		action. (If item 2c is obeci			
a. Is reco	overing \$10,00	OO or more in value by this nat were waived in this action	action. (If item Zo is oheol on have been paid to the	coun (cheak one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00	DO or more in value by this	action. (If item Zo is oheol on have been paid to the	coun (cheak one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00	OO or more in value by this nat were waived in this action	action. (If item Zo is oheol on have been paid to the	coun (cheak one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00	OO or more in value by this nat were waived in this action	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	coun (cheak one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	an a santanananananananananananananananananan
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	an egyptya manadi
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	
a. is reco	overing \$10,00 e and costs the or perjury und	OO of more in value by this nat were waived in this action in the laws of the State of	action. (If item 20 is obedion have been paid to the California that the Informs	count (check one):	Yes 🔲 No	

C(V-110 (Rev July 1, 2000)

REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL

Pege 1 of 2



### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

Ó

I declare that I am employed in the County of Orange, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 700 W. Ball Road, Anaheim, California 92802.

On November 29, 2010, I served by placing a true and correct copy of the within REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL in a sealed envelope and placing in the mail for handling by Disneyland Resort Mail Center employees as follows:

Michael Lee Gilmore, Esq. 12395 Lewis Street, Suite 201 Garden Grove, CA 92840

I declare under penalty of perjury the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 29, 2010, at Anaheim, California.

VICTORIA PILLEJERA

Exhibit Pg 32

EXHIBIT "C"

	Annual Control of the
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name & Address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Michael Lee Gilmore, Esq. 12395 Lewis Street, Ste. 201	
Garden Grove, CA 92840	
Glephone No.: 714/750-1766 Fax No. (Optional); 714/750-1884	FILED
E-Mail Address (Optional): gilmorelaw@sboglobal.net ITTORNEY FOR (Name): Ptaintiff Bar No: 074463	DUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF GRANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
SUBCINOS COURT OF OAL PORNUE COUNTY OF ORANGE	CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE JUSTICE CENTER:	MAR 2.2 2011
Central - 700 Civic Center Dr. West, Santa Ana, CA 92701	1 / 1
Civil Complex Center - 751 W. Santa Ana Blvd., Santa Ana, CA 92701 Harbor-Laguna Hills Facility - 23141 Moulton Pkwy., Laguna Hills, CA 92653-1251	ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Coun
Harbor-Newport Beach Facility - 4601 Jamboree Rd., Newport Beach, CA 92660-2595	BY T. TALLABAS
□ North - 1275 N. Berkeley Ave., P. O. Box 5000, Fullerton, CA 92838-0500 □ West - 8141 13 <sup>th</sup> Street, Westminster, CA 92683-4593	OT T. IACLAGAS
3 100 - 0 - 1 - 10 - 0 1100 1110 11110 101 101	
PLAINTIFF: Charlene Lockhart	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT: Disney Worldwide Services, Inc., et. al.	30-2010 00410443
AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT CROSS-COMPLAINT	Case assigned to: Judge: James J. DiCesare
	Department: C18 Date complaint filed: September 22, 2010
	Hearing/trial date:
I have discovered the true name of DDoe 1 DRoe to be Walt Discovered to be Walt Discovered the true name of DDoe 1 DROE wherever it	ney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.
	ney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.
I have discovered the true name of DDoe to be Walt Disr  The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
I have discovered the true name of DDoe to be Walt Disr  The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)
I have discovered the true name of DDoe to be to be to be The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it Date: March 22, 2011 Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross-defendant	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)
I have discovered the true name of DDoe to be Walt Disr  The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)
I have discovered the true name of DDoe 1 DRoe to be Walt Disr  The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross-defendant	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as
I have discovered the true name of DDoe to be	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as
I have discovered the true name of DDoe 1 DRoe to be Walt Disr  The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross defendant is have discovered the true name of the party to be	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as
I have discovered the true name of DDoe 1 to be Walt Disr  The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross-defendant in have discovered the true name of the party to be  I request the complaint/cross-complaint be amended to reflect the true name with Date:	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as  herever it appears in the pleading.
I have discovered the true name of DDoe to be	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as
I have discovered the true name of \( \overline{\textstyle Discovered} \) The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it \( \overline{\textstyle Date:} \) March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross-defendant is have discovered the true name of the party to be  I request the complaint/cross-complaint be amended to reflect the true name with the complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross-defendant is amended to reflect the true name with the complaint incorrectly named to reflect the true name with t	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as  (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
I have discovered the true name of \( \overline{D}\)Doe \( \begin{align*} \text{Lose} \) to be \( \begin{align*} \text{Walt District Process complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it Date: \( \text{March 22, 2011} \)  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross defendant is have discovered the true name of the party to be	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as  (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
I have discovered the true name of IDDoe to be	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as  (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
I have discovered the true name of IDDoe to be	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  Therever it appears in the pleading.  (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  appears in the pleading.
The complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it  Date: March 22, 2011  Michael Lee Gilmore (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  INCORRECT NAME UNDER SECTION 473, CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ( The complaint/cross-complaint incorrectly named the defendant/cross-defendant I have discovered the true name of the party to be  I request the complaint/cross-complaint be amended to reflect the true name with the complaint/cross-complaint be amended to reflect the true name with the complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it complaint/cross-complaint is amended to reflect the true name wherever it	appears in the pleading.  SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)  ORDER REQUIRED)  Int as  (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

Exhibit Cpg 33

EXHIBIT "D"

## RECEIVED MAR 2 5 2011 LEGAL DOCUMENT LOG <del>ED NOWAK'S O</del>FFICE HOW: DATE: TIME: RECEIVED: IF SERVED: DATE: 3/24/2011 **HOW: PERSONAL SERVICE** TIME: 4:26PM ON BEHALF OF: WALT DISNEY PARKS AND BY: Diane Austin IF ACCEPTED: RESORTS U.S., INC. SUBJECT: CHARLENE LOCKHART VS. DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC., DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE 30-2010 00410443 CASE NUMBER: ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT COURT: TYPE OF SUMMONS / COMPLAINT DOCUMENT: MICHAEL LEE GILMORE LAW FIRM OR ATTORNEY: **ROUTED TO: Ed Nowak** 3/24/11 DATE: CC: **COMMENTS:**



EXHIBIT "E"

1	
2	
3:	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
4.5	

27

28

CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

MAY 09 2011

ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court

F. IBARRA DEPUTY

James R. Moss, Bar No. 196725 E-Mail: <u>jrm@paynefears.com</u> PAYNE & FEARS LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1100 Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 851-1100 Facsimile: (949) 851-1212

Daniel F. Fears, Bar No. 110573 E-Mail: dff@paynefears.com

Attorneys for Defendant WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC.

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

CHARLENE LOCKHART,

Plaintiff,

DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICES, INC., GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 30-2010-00410443

Assigned for all Purposes to the Honorable James J. Di Cesare Department C18

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC.

Date Action Filed: September 22, 2010 None Set

Trial Date:

#### GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC. ("Defendant"), denies generally and specifically, each and every allegation of the unverified Complaint (the "Complaint") pursuant to Section 431.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Defendant further denies, generally and specifically, that Plaintiff Charlene Lockhart ("Plaintiff") is entitled to any relief or that Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount prayed, or at all, by reason of any act or omission on the part of Defendant, or on the part of any agent or employee of Defendant.

Exhibit Epo 35

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant further asserts the following separate and additional defenses:

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith Belief)

2. Any decisions made by Defendant with respect to Plaintiff's employment were reasonably based on the facts as Defendant understood them.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statutes of Limitation)

3. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation, including but not limited to California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 335.1, 338 subdivision (a), 340, and 343, and California Government Code sections 12960 subdivision (d) and 12965 subdivision (b), to the extent that Plaintiff has stated valid claims to which those provisions apply.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate Damages)

4. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate any damages allegedly caused to her by virtue of the acts in which Defendant allegedly engaged.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

5. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by the doctrine of laches.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

6. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by the doctrine of "unclean hands" because Plaintiff engaged in conduct which proximately caused or contributed to any and all injuries Plaintiff allegedly suffered.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

7. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by virtue of Plaintiff's own course of conduct which constitutes a waiver of her claims.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Estoppel)

8. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by the doctrine of estoppel by virtue of Plaintiff's own conduct.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Justification)

Defendant's actions with respect to the subject matter in each of the alleged causes of action were undertaken in good faith and for good cause, with the absence of malicious intent to injure Plaintiff, and constitute lawful, proper and justified means to further Defendant's purpose to engage in and continue its business activities.

### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Failure to Exhaust Internal Remedies)

10. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by Plaintiff's failure to exhaust internal remedies available to her as an employee of Defendant.

## ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Lack of Proximate Cause)

Plaintiff's prayers for general damages, special damages, punitive and exemplary damages are barred because such damages, if any, were not the result of acts, representations or omissions of Defendant or its agents, or any of them.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Exclusive Remedy of Workers' Compensation)

Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred by the exclusive remedies provided in the California Workers' Compensation Act, Cal. Labor Code section 3600, et seq.

## THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Punitive Damages Unavailable)

13. Plaintiff is not entitled to recover punitive or exemplary damages because Plaintiff has failed to allege legal claims or facts sufficient to state a claim for punitive or exemplary damages, or to show that Defendant engaged in oppressive, fraudulent or malicious conduct.

## FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Punitive Damages Not Recoverable Against Corporate Defendant)

14. Plaintiff is not entitled to recover punitive or exemplary damages from Defendant for the alleged acts referred to in the Complaint on the grounds that Defendant regularly engaged and continues to engage in good faith efforts to prevent discrimination, harassment and retaliation in the workplace, and that the acts alleged in the Complaint, if committed by anyone, were not committed by an officer, director or managing agent of Defendant, nor were they authorized or ratified by an officer, director or managing agent, nor did Defendant, its officers, directors or managing agents have advance knowledge of the unfitness, if any, of the employees who allegedly committed the acts, nor did Defendant employ the employees with a conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others.

## FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Utilize Policy / Doctrine of Avoidable Consequences)

therein are barred, in whole or in part, because Defendant at all relevant times exercised reasonable care to prevent or correct any allegedly unlawful behavior in the workplace, including any alleged discrimination and harassment, and Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventative or corrective opportunities provided by Defendant, and failed to request and/or accept reasonable accommodation for any alleged disability, or to avoid harm otherwise.

#### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies/Lack of Jurisdiction)

16. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred, and the Court lacks jurisdiction over Plaintiff's Complaint and claims, as a result of Plaintiff's failure to timely exhaust her administrative remedies before the Unites States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and/or the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing.

## SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Ratification)

17. Defendant is not liable for damages because if any person engaged in intentional, willful or unlawful conduct as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, she or she did so without the knowledge, authorization or ratification of Defendant.

#### EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Causation by Plaintiff)

18. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred because any damages or injuries that Plaintiff allegedly suffered were caused by Plaintiff's own conduct and actions, and not because of any unlawful conduct or actions by Defendant.

#### NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Decision Based On Legitimate, Non-Discriminatory and Non-Retaliatory Business Reason)

19. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred on the grounds that any decisions made by Defendants were reasonably based on legitimate, non-discriminatory and non-retaliatory business reasons that did not violate public policy or any statutory prohibition.

#### TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Treatment No Different in the Absence of Discriminatory or Retaliatory Motive)

20. Plaintiff's Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are barred on the grounds that even assuming, *arguendo*, that any discriminatory or retaliatory motive existed, which it did not, Plaintiff would have been treated no differently in the absence of such discriminatory motive.

#### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Bad Faith and Frivolous Action)

21. The Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein are frivolous and were brought in bad faith, and by reason of the conduct stated herein, Defendant is entitled to, and may seek, reasonable expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred in defending ther action pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure, Section 128.5.

#### TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Damages Not Result of Actions or Omissions of Defendant)

22. Plaintiff's prayer for general damages, special damages, punitive and exemplary damages regarding each cause of action contained in the Complaint is barred because such damages, if any, were not the result of acts, representations or omissions of Defendant.

## TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Garmon Preemption)

23. The Complaint and each alleged cause of action contained therein is preempted pursuant to San Diego Building Trades v. Garmon, 359 U.S.C. 236, 79 S.Ct. 773 (1959) and 29 U.S.C. section 158.

## WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That judgment be entered in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff;
- 2. That the Complaint herein be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice;
- 3. That Defendant be awarded its costs of suit herein;

1	National Section 1997	
2		
3.		
4		•
5		DA
6		
7		
8	- the spectrum	
9		
10		
11		
12		4851
13		
14		
15		
16	The second second	
17		
18		,
19	water the second section is	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	The state of the s	
27		
28		

- 4. That Defendant be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees as determined by the Court; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: May 9, 2011

PAYNE & FEARS LLP

DANIEL F. FEARS
JAMES R. MOSS, JR.

Attorneys for Defendant WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC.

4851-4155-8537.1

## PROOF OF SERVICE

Lockhart v. Disneyland International, Inc., et al.

and am not a party to the within action; my business address is Jamboree Center, 4 Park Plaza,

COMPLAINT BY WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC. on interested parties

in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes as follows:

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years

On May 9, 2011, I served the following document(s) described as ANSWER TO

3

2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

MICHAEL LEE GILMORE, ESQ. LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL LEE

12395 LEWIS STREET, STE. 201 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92840

Suite 1100, Irvine, CA 92614.

**GILMORE** 

T. 714.750.1766 F. 714.750.1844

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

4821-8568-9097.1

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff CHARLENE

LOCKHART

X (BY U.S. Mail) I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I deposited such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at Irvine, California.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that X the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 9, 2011, at Irvine, California.

AM. SOU

EXHIBIT "F"

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and an not a party to the within action. I am an employee of or agent for THE LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL LEE GILMORE, whose address is 12395 Lewis Street, Suite #201, Garden Grove, California 92840.

On the date set forth below, I served the foregoing document described as **NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFEREMCE** on the following interested parties in this action in the manner set forth below:

DANIEL F. FEARS
JAMES R. MOSS
PAYNE & FEARS LLP
4 PARK PLAZA, Suite 1100
IRVINE, CA 92614

BY U.S. REGULAR MAIL: I placed a true copy of the above-named document enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at Garden Grove, California, addressed as noted above. (C.C.P. §1013(a)). I am "readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Garden Grove, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

- [ ] OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I caused a true copy of each documents, placed in a sealed envelope with delivery fees provided for, to be deposited in a box regularly maintained by FEDERAL EXPRESS. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for overnight delivery and know that in the ordinary course of THE LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL LEE GILMORE's business practice, the documents (s) described above will be deposited in a box regularly maintained by FEDERAL EXPRESS. (C.C.P. §1013(c)).
- [ ] DELIVERY SERVICE: I placed a true copy of the above named document(s) enclosed in a sealed envelope and caused such envelope to be delivered to the person named thereon at the office of the addressees named above by an authorized employee of ORANGE COURIER.
- [ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I delivered each such documents by hand to each addressee named above.
- BY FACSIMILE: By use of facsimile machine, telephone number 714-750-1884, I served a copy of the within document (s) on the above interested parties at the facsimile numbers listed above. The transmission was reported as complete and without error and the transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine. (C.C.P. §1013(e)).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on MAY 10, 2011 at Garden Grove, California.

MICHAEL/LEE GILMORE

Exhibit Fpg 46

EXHIBIT "G"

	. CM-110
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Daniel F. Fears, SBN 110573	
James R. Moss, Jr., SBN 196725	
Payne & Fears LLP	
1 Park Plaza, Suite 1100 Irvine, CA 92614	
TELEPHONE NO.: 949.851.1100 FAX NO. (Optional): 949.851.1212	FILED
EMAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	THE COURT OF CALLEORNIA
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Deft Walt Disney Parks and Resorts	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
STREET ADDRESS: 700 Civic Center Drive West	MAY 19 2011
MAILING ADDRESS:	, ,
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana, CA 92701	ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court
BRANCH NAME: Central Justice Center	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: CHARLENE LOCKHART	BY: A. RINCON DEPUTY
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICE, INC.,	The state of the s
DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL; etc.	
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
	30-2010-00410443
Check one): X UNLIMITED CASE LIMITED CASE	30-2010-00410443
(Amount demanded (Amount demanded is \$25,000 exceeds \$25,000) or less)	
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE is scheduled as follows:	<u></u>
and the control of th	
Sale. Hay 277 2011	Div.: Room:
Address of court (if different from the address above):	
Notice of Intent to Appear by Telephone, by (name):	
INSTRUCTIONS: All applicable boxes must be checked, and the specifie	d information must be provided.
Party or parties (answer one):	
a. X This statement is submitted by party (name): Deft Walt Disney P	arks and Resorts U.S., Inc.
b. This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):	
Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complaina	nts only)
a. The complaint was filed on (date):	
b. The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):	
the state of the s	
Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)	I askana amazand arkana kaon dismissad
a. All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served	i, or nave appeared, or have been dismissed.
b. The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint	
(1) have not been served (specify names and explain why not):	$\epsilon_{ij}$
(2) have been served but have not appeared and have not been	dismissed (specify names):
(3) have had a default entered against them (specify names):	•
c. The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of	involvement in case, and the date by which
they may be served):	
Description of case	•
a. Type of case in X complaint cross-complaint (Describe, i	ncluding causes of action):
Discrimination in violation of California Governm	
seq.; Wrongful termination in violation of Calfic	ornia Goverment Code Section
12940 et seq.; Wrongful Constructive Termination	in Violation of of Public
Policy; Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and	Fair Dealing
TOTTOY! DECOMOTE OF DITO OF CONTENTS. OF GOOD ENTERS	Page 1 of 4

Legal Solutions Q Plus Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3,720–3,730

CM-110

· '		~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: CHARLENE	LOCKHART	CASE NUMBER:
EFENDANT/RESPONDENT: DISNEY WO ISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HC	RLDWIDE SERVICE, INC., VTEL; etc.	30-2010-00410443
b. Provide a brief statement of the case, incl damages claimed, including medical expe eamings to date, and estimated future los Plaintiff alleges that her to Disneyland management t	uding any damages. (If personal injury denses to date [indicate source and amount earnings. If equitable relief is sought, described that she had been harassed with her Union. Defendant that Plaintiff's employment	ed because she complained do by a co-worker, and nt denies Plaintiff's ent was terminated because
(If more space is needed, check this bo	x and attach a page designated as Attac	hment 4b.)
Jury or nonjury trial	I II - manifest total //f man than	one party, provide the name of each party
The party or parties request a jury trial requesting a jury trial):	I 🔼 a nonjury trial. (If more than	one party, provide the name of each party
requesting a jury man.	, , , ,	
not, explain):		of the date of the filing of the complaint (if
c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will n  Trials: 5/11/11, 5/24/13  9/19/11, 10/18/11, 11/7/13  Arbitration: 4/18/11  Estimated length of trial  The party or parties estimate that the trial will  a. X days (specify number):  b. hours (short causes) (specify):	., 7/12/11 7/19/11, 8/29/ ., 11/14/11, 11/28/11, 1/	11, 9/13/11, 9/14/11, 17/12. Mediation: 6/1/11.
Till and the firm the he appropried for and	h narty)	
Trial representation (to be answered for each The party or parties will be represented at trial	X by the attorney or party listed in	the caption by the following:
a. Aftorney:	, , ,	
b. Firm:		
c. Address:		
d. Telephone number:		
e. Fax number: f. E-mail address:		<i>,</i> •
g. Party represented:		
Additional representation is described in	n Attachment 8.	
Preference  This case is entitled to preference (spec	ify code section):	
Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)     a. Counsel X has has not reviewed ADR options with the client.		e identified in rule 3.221 to the client and has
<ul><li>b. All parties have agreed to a form of</li><li>c. The case has gone to an ADR proc</li></ul>	ADR. ADR will be completed by (date): ess (indicate status):	
		,

	CM-110
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: CHARLENE LOCKHART	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICE, INC., DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL; etc.	30-2010-00410443
<ul> <li>O. d. The party or parties are willing to participate in (check all that apply):</li> <li>(1) X Mediation</li> <li>(2) Nonbinding judicial arbitration under Code of Civil Procedure section arbitration under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.822)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>(3) Nonbinding judicial arbitration under Code of Civil Procedure section before trial; order required under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.822)</li> <li>(4) Binding judicial arbitration</li> <li>(5) Binding private arbitration</li> <li>(6) Neutral case evaluation</li> <li>(7) Other (specify):</li> </ul>	1141.12 (discovery to remain open until 30 days
<ul> <li>e.  This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration because the amount the statutory limit.</li> <li>f.  Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit receptocedure section 1141.11.</li> <li>g.  This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the Californ</li> </ul>	covery to the amount specified in Code of Civil
Settlement conference     The party or parties are willing to participate in an early settlement conference	(specify when):
<ul> <li>2. Insurance</li> <li>a. Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (name):</li> <li>b. Reservation of rights: Yes No</li> <li>c. Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (explain):</li> </ul>	
3. Jurisdiction Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case Bankruptcy Other (specify):	e, and describe the status.
Status: 4. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination a There are companion, underlying, or related cases.  (1) Name of case:	
<ul> <li>(2) Name of court:</li> <li>(3) Case number:</li> <li>(4) Status:</li> <li>Additional cases are described in Attachment 14a.</li> </ul>	
b. A motion to consolidate coordinate will be filed by	γ (name party):
5. Bifurcation The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or action (specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons):	coordinating the following issues or causes of
6. Other motions  X The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (specify motion) Defendant may bring discovery motions as needed bring a motion for summary judgment based upon the second secon	and reserves the ability to

Page 3 of 4

CM-110

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: CHARLENE LOCKHART	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICE, INC., DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL; etc.	30-2010-00410443
7. Discovery a The party or parties have completed all discovery. b The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (describe all a Party	anticipated discovery): <u>Date</u>
Deft Walt Disney Parks and Resorts Plaintiff's Deposition	August 2011
Deft Walt Disney Parks and Resorts Written Discovery	November 2011
Deft Walt Disney Parks and Resorts Third Party and Expert D	iscovery February 2012
c. The following discovery issues are anticipated (specify):	
10 Factorial Higgsian	
<ul> <li>Economic Litigation</li> <li>a. This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and of Civil Procedure sections 90 through 98 will apply to this case.</li> </ul>	
b. This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the econo discovery will be filed (if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation should not apply to this case):	mic inigation procedures or for additional in procedures relating to discovery or trial
19. Other issues The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered conference (specify):	d or determined at the case management
20. Meet and confer  a The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects re of Court (if not, explain):	equired by rule 3.724 of the California Rules
<ul> <li>b. After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of C (specify):</li> </ul>	Court, the parties agree on the following
(Specify).	
21. Total number of pages attached (if any):0 -	
am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of daised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these conference, including the written authority of the party where required.	liscovery and ADR, as well as other issues issues at the time of the case management
Date: May 18, 2011	
James R. Moss, Jr. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)
(TIPE OK FINIT NOME)	gnatures are attached.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

Lockhart v. Disneyland International, Inc., et al.

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action; my business address is Jamboree Center, 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1100, Irvine, CA 92614.

On May 18, 2011, I served the following document(s) described as CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT on interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes as follows:

MICHAEL LEE GILMORE, ESQ. LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL LEE GILMORE 12395 LEWIS STREET, STE. 201 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92840 T. 714.750.1766 F. 714.750.1844 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHARLENE LOCKHART

(BY U.S. Mail) I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I deposited such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at Irvine, California.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 18, 2011, at Irvine, California.

GINA M. SQUITIERI

4821-8568-9097.1

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2223

24

2526

27

28

EXHIBIT "H"

26

27

28

Attorneys for Defendant WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC.

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE/CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

#### CHARLENE LOCKHART,

Plaintiff,

٧.

DISNEY WORLDWIDE SERVICE, INC.; DISNEYLAND GRAND CALIFORNIA HOTEL; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 30-2010-00410443

Assigned for all Purposes to the Honorable James J. Di Cesare Department C18

## REQUEST FOR STATEMENT OF DAMAGES

Date Action Filed: September 22, 2010 Trial Date: Not Yet Set

## TO PLAINTIFF CHARLENE LOCKHART AND HIS COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.11, Defendant Walt Disney Parks and Resorts US, Inc. hereby demands that Plaintiff Charlene Lockhart provide a statement setting forth the nature and amount of damages being sought in this action within fifteen (15) days from the date set forth on the Proof of Service attached to this document.

·	1	DATED: May 26, 2011	PAYNE & FEARS LLP
	2		
	3	I	By: DANIEL F. FEARS
	4		DANIEL F. FEARS JAMES R. MOSS, JR.
	5		Attorneys for Defendant WALT DISNEY PARKS AND RESORTS U.S., INC.
	6		INC.
	7		
	8	4850-0040-0649.1	
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
4	13		
4, SUITE	14		
K PLAZA, RVINE, C/ (949) 851	15		
4 PARK PI IRVIN (949	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20 🔞		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		· ·	

2 CAPTION

Case 8:11-cv-00953-JST -RNB Document 1 Filed 06/24/11 Page 62 of 70 Page ID #:62

Exhibit H pg 53

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

Charlene Lockhart vs. Disney Worldwide Services, Inc., et al.

2 3

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

4

5

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action; my business address is Jamboree Center, 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1100, Irvine, California 92614.

envelopes as follows:

Suite 201

Michael Lee Gilmore, Esq.

12395 Lewis Street

Law Office of Michael Lee Gilmore

Garden Grove, California 92840

Telephone: (714) 750-1766 Facsimile: (714) 750-1884

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

X

26

27 28

4823-7489-5369.1

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CHARLENE LOCKHART

(By U.S. Mail) I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I deposited such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at Irvine, California.

On May 27 2011, I served the following document(s) described as REQUEST FOR

STATEMENT OF DAMAGES in this action by placing the original thereof enclosed in sealed

(By Facsimile) I served a true and correct copy by facsimile pursuant to C.C.P. 1013(e), calling for agreement and written confirmation of that agreement or court order, to the number(s) listed above or on attached sheet. Said transmission was reported complete and without error.

(By Personal Service) I delivered by hand on the interested parties in this action by X placing the above mentioned document(s) thereof in envelopes addressed to the office of the addressee(s) listed above or on attached sheet, at the Orange County Superior Court, Department C18, 700 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, CA 92701.

(By Overnight Courier) I served the above referenced document(s) enclosed in a sealed П package, for collection and for delivery marked for next day delivery in the ordinary course of business, addressed to the office of the addressee(s) listed above or on attached sheet.

(By E-Mail) I transmitted a copy of the foregoing documents(s) via e-mail to the addressee(s).

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 25 2011, at Irvine, California.

EXHIBIT "I"

### CIV-050 - DO NOT FILE WITH THE COURT--UNLESS YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A DEFAULT JUDGMENT UNDER CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE § 585 -

Michael Lee Gilmore	714/750-1766	5	COURTUSE ONLY
12395 Lewis Street, Suite 201			
Garden Grove, CA 92840			
Bar No. 074463 ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff, Charlene Lockhart		The state of the s	CEIVED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF O	ranga	11 18 1	47
STREET ADDRESS: 700 Civic Center Drive West	lange	JUN	17 2011
MAILING ADDRESS:		PAYME	& FEARS
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana, CA 92701  BRANCH NAME: Central Justice Center		. 77.181	- GLEAKS
PLAINTIFF: Charlene Lockhart			
DEFENDANT: Disney			
STATEMENT OF DAMAG	3ES	CASE NUMBER:	
(Personal Injury or Wrongfu	l Death)	30-20	10-00410443
To (name of one defendant only): Walt Disney Parks a	and Resorts U.S., Inc.		
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): Charlene Lockhar	t		
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as follows:	. <b>*</b> 		AMOUNT
General damages     Pain, suffering, and inconvenience			AMOUNT
·			
b. Emotional distress.  c. Loss of consortium			
d. Loss of sociey and companionship (wrongful de			
e Other (specify)	***************************************	***************************************	\$
f. Other (specify)	·		\$
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.			
2. Special damages		•	
a. Medical expenses (to date)		***************************************	\$ <u>4,000</u>
b. Tuture medical expenses (present value)			\$ 70,000
c. Loss of earnings (to date)			\$ 94,000
d. Loss of future earning capacity (present value)	***************************************	*****************	\$ 235,000
e. Property damage	,	*******************************	\$
f. Funeral expenses (wrongful death actions only)	)	***************************************	. \$
g. Future contributions (present value) (wrongful d	leath actions only)		\$
h. Value of personal service, advice, or training (w			
i / Other (specific) Loss of Repefits			\$ 200,000
. [ ] 00 (			\$
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.	······································	***************************************	
Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the right to	pook numitivo domocos in th	a amount of (accepts)	•
when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed against y		o amount of (specify) ?	
Date: June 16, 2011		1 a Dix	
Michael Lee Gilmore	) le	Treback Da	Jus )
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		IGNATURE OF PLANTIED OR ATT	TORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
	of of service on reverse)		Page 1 of 2
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use STATI	EMENT OF DAMAGES	Code o	of Civil Procedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115

Judicial Council of California CIV-050 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

(Personal Injury or Wrongful Death)



<u> </u>	•	CIV-05
PLAINTIFF: Charlene Lockhart		CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT: Disney		30-2010-00410443
		n item 1, have the person who served
i. I served the a. Statement of Damages Other (specify):	•	
b. on (name):  c. by serving defendant other (name and title or re	lationship to person served	<del>d</del> ):
d. by delivery at home at business		
(1) date: (2) time:	:	
(3) address:		
e. 🚺 by mailing		
(1) date:		
(2) place:	•	•
<ul> <li>Manner of service (check proper box):</li> <li>a. Personal service. By personally delivering copies. (CC)</li> </ul>		
copies were left. (CCP § 415.20(a))  Substituted service on natural person, minor, conse usual place of abode, or usual place of business of the phousehold or a person apparently in charge of the office informed of the general nature of the papers, and therea person served at the place where the copies were left. (constant of the papers are left of the place where the copies were left.)  Mail and acknowledgment service. By mailing (by first)	erson served in the prese or place of business, at le fter mailing (by first-class CCP § 415.20(b)) (Attach ce in first attempting per t-class mail or airmail, pos	nce of a competent member of the ast 18 years of age, who was mail, postage prepaid) copies to the separate declaration or affidavit rsonal service.)
served, together with two copies of the form of notice an addressed to the sender. (CCP § 415.30) (Attach comp	d acknowledgment and a	retum envelope, postage prepaid,
e. Certified or registered mail service. By mailing to an a requiring a return receipt) copies to the person served. (evidence of actual delivery to the person served.)	iddress outside California CCP § 415.40) (Attach si	(by first-class mail, postage prepaid, gned return recelpt or other
f. Other (specify code section):		
additional page is attached.  At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a part.	ty to this action	
. He for service: \$	y to the double	
. Person serving:		
a. California sheriff, marshal, or constable b. Registered California process server c. Employee or independent contractor of a registered California process server		d telephone number and, if applicable, tion and number:
d. Not a registered California process server e. Exempt from registration under Bus. & Prof. Code § 22350(b)		
declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the tate of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		riff, marshal, or constable use only) going is true and correct.
ate:	Date:	- <del>-</del>
	<b>k</b> .	•
(SIGNATURE)	· communication of the communi	(SIGNATURE)
(BIGINATURE)		foreign and over 1990)

CIV-050 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

PROOF OF SERVICE (Statement of Damages)

Page 2 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 425.11, 425.115



#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and an not a party to the within action. I am an employee of or agent for THE LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL LEE GILMORE, whose address is 12395 Lewis Street, Suite #201, Garden Grove, California 92840.

On the date set forth below, I served the foregoing document described as STATEMENT OF DAMAGES on the following interested parties in this action in the manner set forth below:

Rachel Warren Payne & Fears, LLP 4 Park Plaza #1100 Irvine, CA. 92614

- BY U.S. REGULAR MAIL: I placed a true copy of the above-named document enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at Garden Grove, California, addressed as noted above. (C.C.P. §1013(a)). I am "readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Garden Grove, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- [ ] OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I caused a true copy of each documents, placed in a sealed envelope with delivery fees provided for, to be deposited in a box regularly maintained by FEDERAL EXPRESS. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of documents for overnight delivery and know that in the ordinary course of THE LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL LEE GILMORE's business practice, the documents (s) described above will be deposited in a box regularly maintained by FEDERAL EXPRESS. (C.C.P. §1013(c)).
- DELIVERY SERVICE: I placed a true copy of the above named document(s) enclosed in a sealed envelope and caused such envelope to be delivered to the person named thereon at the office of the addressees named above by an authorized employee of ORANGE COURIER.
- [ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I delivered each such documents by hand to each addressee named above.
- [ ] BY FACSIMILE: By use of facsimile machine, telephone number 714-750-1884, I served a copy of the within document (s) on the above interested parties at the facsimile numbers listed above. The transmission was reported as complete and without error and the transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine. (C.C.P. §1013(e)).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on June 2011 at Garden Grove California.

MICHAEL LEE GILMORE

Exhibit I pg 57

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

Charlene Lockhart vs. Disney Worldwide Services, Inc., et al.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action; my business address is Jamboree Center, 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1100, Irvine, California 92614.

On June 24, 2011, I served the following document(s) described as

#### PETITION AND NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 AND 1441

on interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes as follows:

Michael Lee Gilmore, Esq. Law Office of Michael Lee Gilmore 12395 Lewis Street Suite 201 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHARLENE LOCKHART

Garden Grove, California 92840 Telephone: (714) 750-1766

Telephone: (714) 750-1766 Facsimile: (714) 750-1884

- (BY U.S. Mail) I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I deposited such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at Irvine, California.
- (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on June 24, 2011, at Irvine, California.

DARBY KHUONG

4820-8019-1753.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

LAINTIFFS (Check be	ox if you are representing yoursel	f [])	DEFENDANTS		
IARLENE LOCKHA	RT		WALT DISNEY PAR	KS AND RESORT U.	S., INC.
	dress and Telephone Number. If	ou are representing	Attorneys (If Known)	·	
ourself, provide same.)			Daniel F. Fears,	SBN: 110573	•
ichael Lee Gil	more, EEsq.		James R. Moss,		5
	Michael Lee Gilmor	e	PAYNE & FEARS L		
2395 Lewis Str	eet, Suite 201		4 Park Plaza, S		
arden Grove, Ca	alifornia 92840		Irvine, CA 926		
elephone (714)	750-1766		Telephone (949)		
ASIS OF JURISDICTIO	ON (Place an X in one box only.)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL Place an X in one box for plaintiff	PARTIES - For Diversity ( and one for defendant.)	Cases Only PTF DEF
J.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (I Government Not a		of This State X 1 1	Incorporated or Principal Pl of Business in this State	
J.S. Government Defenda	ant X 4 Diversity (Indicate of Parties in Item II	I)	*	Incorporated and Principal I of Business in Another State	
			or Subject of a 3 3 3 gn Country	Foreign Nation	66
)RIGIN (Place an X in o	ne hox only )				
Original X 2 Remo	ved from 3 Remanded from Court Appellate Cou		r 5 Transferred from anothe (specify):	er district  6 Multi- District Litigation	7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge
	THE PART OF THE PA	CTO Van XXI No	(Check 'Yes' only if demanded is		
LEQUESTED IN COMP	LAINT: JURY DEMAND:	Yes 4221 NO		the state of the s	
ACTION under F.R.C.	P. 23: Yes X No	. [	MONEY DEMANDED IN	COMPLAINT: \$ Over	\$75,000
AUSE OF ACTION (C	ite the U.S. Civil Statute under w	hich you are filing a	nd write a brief statement of cause	e. Do not cite jurisdictional s	statutes unless diversity.)
OYMENT CASE F	REMOVED ON GROUND	S OF DIVER	SIII, 20 USC SEC.	11014 1332	
				•	
NATURE OF SUIT (Pla	ice an X in one box only.)	,			
Weltrash Arteness	CONTRACTORS	escentiant			
) State Reapportionment	110 Insurance	* PERSONALINIL		Se PETRONS	710 Fair Labor
) Antitrust	120 Marine	310 Airplane	oduct 370 Other Fraud	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.
) Banks and Banking	130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Pro	371 Truth in Lending		Relations
) Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of	320 Assault, Lib	el & 380 Other Personal	530 General	730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &
) Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	Property Damage		Disclosure Act
) Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of Judgment	330 Fed. Emplo	yers' 385 Property Damage Product Liability	540 Mandamus/ Other	740 Railway Labor Act
and Corrupt Organizations	151 Medicare Act	340 Marine		550 Civil Rights	790 Other Labor
) Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted	345 Marine Proc	fluct GBANKRUPICE 422 Appeal 28 USC	555 Prison Condition	Litigation
) Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	350 Motor Vehi		e contractation	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.
) Selective Service	Veterans)  153 Recovery of	355 Motor Vehi		PENALTY (**)	Security Act
) Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	Overpayment of	Product Lia 360 Other Perso			820 Copyrights
5 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	Injury	441 Voting	Drug	830 Patent
USC 3410	160 Stockholders' Suits	362 Personal In		625 Drug Related	840 Trademark
Other Statutory Actions Agricultural Act	195 Contract Product	Med Malpro		Seizure of Property 21 USC	861 HIA (1395ff)
2 Economic Stabilization	Liability	Product Lia	bility   444 Welfare	881	862 Black Lung (923)
Act	196 Franchise REAL PROPERTIES	368 Asbestos Pe		630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
3 Environmental Matters	210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment	650 Airline Regs	864 SSID Title XVI
4 Energy Allocation Act 5 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	SEGUNDALITIES (6)	446 American with	660 Occupational	865 RSI (405(g))
3 Appeal of Fee Determi-	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		1 046	,	PEDERAL MAYOUTS
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	240 Torts to Land	Application  463 Habeas Cor	p	690 Other	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or
Constitutionality of	245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Alien Detai	Pub		Defendant)
State Statutes		465 Other Immi	gration		871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609
		Actions		<u></u>	20 030 7007

FFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV 11-00953 JST (RNBX)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	S: Has this action b	een previously filed in this o	court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes	
case number(s):  RELATED CASES:  case number(s):	Have any cases be	en previously filed in this co	ourt that are related to the present case? X No Yes	
	A. Arise f B. Call fo C. For oth	or determination of the same ner reasons would entail sub-	e:  ated transactions, happenings, or events; or  or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  stantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
		ormation, use an additional		
			State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. intiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).	
/ in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Orange	·			
			State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. endant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
/ in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
•			Florida	
		nty outside of this District; see location of the tract of la	State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Orange				
		de, Ventura, Santa Barbar of the tract of pand involved	a, or San Luis Obispo Counties	
ATURE OF ATTORN		11111	Date June 24, 2011	
ATORE OF AT FORM	ET (ORTROTER)	James R. Moss		
er naners as required by	v law. This form, ap	proved by the Judicial Confe	information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings erence of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
atistical codes relating	to Social Security C	Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action	
361	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
362	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		
15/08)	·	CIVI	IL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2	

15/08)